

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,831	09/10/2003	Peter J. Black	990486D1	5958
23696 OUALCOMM	7590 07/05/201 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREH	OUSE DR.	TSEGAYE, SABA		
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2467	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/05/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/659,831	BLACK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SABA TSEGAYE	2467		

	SABA TSEGAYE	2467	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (box 1).	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
Learning of the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended 7.7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b a) They raise new issues that would require further con b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed in non-allowable claim(s). 		•	-
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5.6 and 12-17.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/HASSAN PHILLIPS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2467			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations "the second device co-sited with the first device", as in claim 1, and "in an area co-sited with the spread first pilot data" as in claims 12 and 15 change the scope of the claims and present new issues that would require further consideration and/or new search.